REMOTE PATIENT MONITORING AND CLASSIFICATION OF DIABETES SUBTYPES CLASSIFICATION USING DEEP-LEARNING RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM

Callins Christiyana Chelladurai¹, Punitha Murugesan², Sivajothi Esakkimani³ and Selvi Shanmuga Pandian⁴

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, SRM Madurai College for Engineering and Technology, India ²Department of Information Technology, Sethu Institute of Technology, India

³Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, India ⁴Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Sethu Institute of Technology, India

Abstract

Remote patient monitoring has become pivotal in managing chronic diseases like diabetes. This study proposes a novel approach for the classification of diabetes subtypes utilizing a deep-learning reconstruction algorithm. The system leverages continuous patient data obtained through remote monitoring devices, enabling real-time analysis for timely intervention. The deep-learning reconstruction algorithm, based on a convolutional neural network architecture, demonstrated exceptional accuracy in distinguishing between diabetes subtypes. The model achieved an overall classification accuracy of 92%, outperforming traditional methods. It exhibited high sensitivity and specificity, with values exceeding 90% for each subtype. The results showcase the system's effectiveness in classifying diabetes subtypes: Type 1 diabetes (Sensitivity: 94%, Specificity: 92%), Type 2 diabetes (Sensitivity: 91%, Specificity: 94%), and Gestational diabetes (Sensitivity: 93%, Specificity: 91%). The system's ability to accurately identify these subtypes ensures personalized and targeted care for patients.

Keywords:

Deep-Learning Reconstruction Algorithm, Diabetes Subtypes, Remote Patient Monitoring, Convolutional Neural Network, Healthcare Classification

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the healthcare landscape has witnessed a paradigm shift towards remote patient monitoring, offering continuous and real-time health data for chronic disease management [1].

Diabetes, a prevalent and multifaceted condition, necessitates advanced methodologies for accurate classification of its subtypes. Existing diagnostic approaches often face challenges in providing timely and precise information, leading to suboptimal patient care [2].

1.1 DIABETES MELLITUS

It is a chronic metabolic disorder, is characterized by elevated blood glucose levels resulting from impaired insulin secretion, insulin action, or both [3]. The condition is broadly classified into several subtypes, each with distinct etiological factors, clinical manifestations, and management strategies. Understanding the background of these different diabetic subtypes is crucial for effective diagnosis and treatment [4].

1.1.1 Type 1 Diabetes (T1D):

T1D is an autoimmune disorder where the immune system mistakenly attacks and destroys insulin-producing beta cells in the pancreas. Typically diagnosed in childhood or adolescence [5], but it can occur at any age. Rapid onset, dependence on exogenous insulin, and a higher risk of ketoacidosis. Insulin therapy is the mainstay, often administered through injections or insulin pumps.

1.1.2 Type 2 Diabetes (T2D):

T2D involves insulin resistance, where cells do not respond effectively to insulin, and insufficient insulin production over time. Commonly develops in adulthood, but increasingly diagnosed in younger individuals due to lifestyle factors. Gradual onset, potential for initial management with lifestyle changes, oral medications, and later insulin if needed. Lifestyle modifications, oral antidiabetic medications, and insulin in advanced stages [6].

1.1.3 Gestational Diabetes (GDM):

GDM occurs during pregnancy when the body cannot produce enough insulin to meet increased demands, leading to elevated blood sugar levels. It is typically diagnosed during the second or third trimester of pregnancy. Often asymptomatic; may increase the risk of complications during pregnancy and delivery. Dietary changes, monitoring blood glucose levels, and insulin therapy if needed. It is Caused by mutations in a single gene, leading to disruptions in insulin production or function, resulting from other medical conditions or medications affecting insulin regulation [7].

The challenges in diabetes management highlight the need for innovative solutions, prompting the formulation of this study. The primary problem addressed is the classification of diabetes subtypes, namely Type 1 diabetes, Type 2 diabetes, and Gestational diabetes, through the application of a deep-learning reconstruction algorithm. Current classification methods often lack the granularity required for personalized treatment strategies, posing a substantial impediment to effective diabetes care [8].

The objectives of this research encompass the development and validation of a deep-learning reconstruction algorithm for remote patient monitoring, aiming to enhance the classification accuracy of diabetes subtypes. The novelty of this approach lies in its utilization of continuous patient data streams, enabling realtime subtype identification and facilitating timely medical interventions.

The contributions of this study extend beyond conventional methodologies, introducing a cutting-edge paradigm in diabetes classification. By combining remote patient monitoring and a deep-learning reconstruction algorithm, this research strives to significantly improve the precision and efficiency of diabetes subtype identification. The outcomes of this study have the potential to revolutionize healthcare practices, ushering in a new era of personalized and data-driven patient care.

| Diabetes Type | Etiology | Onset | Clinical Features |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Type 1 Diabetes (T1D) | Autoimmune destruction of β-cells | Childhood/ Adolescence | Rapid onset, insulin dependence, ketoacidosis risk |
| Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) | Insulin resistance, insufficient insulin production | Adulthood (increasingly in younger individuals) | Gradual onset, lifestyle changes, oral medications |
| Gestational Diabetes (GDM) | Insufficient insulin during pregnancy | Second/ Third trimester | Often asymptomatic, increased risk of pregnancy complications |
| Monogenic Diabetes | Genetic mutations affecting insulin | Varied | Varies based on genetic mutation |
| Secondary Diabetes | Resulting from other medical conditions or medications | Varied | Depends on underlying cause |

Table.1. Types of diabetes

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

In recent years, the application of deep learning techniques for diabetic classification has gained substantial attention within the scientific community [9]. Researchers have explored various architectures and methodologies to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of diabetic subtype identification. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) [10] have emerged as a prominent choice due to their ability to automatically learn hierarchical features from medical data. Studies, such as [11], have successfully employed CNNs to discriminate between different diabetic subtypes, achieving results in terms of sensitivity and specificity.

Another exploration involves the utilization of Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) for temporal modeling of patient data. RNNs are well-suited for capturing sequential patterns, making them valuable in analyzing time-series data from remote patient monitoring devices. Notably, [12] demonstrated the effectiveness of RNNs in identifying subtle changes in glucose levels over time, aiding in the early detection of diabetic subtypes. The temporal aspect of RNNs has proven particularly beneficial in distinguishing between acute and chronic variations in glucose dynamics.

Furthermore, the integration of transfer learning techniques has garnered attention for diabetic classification tasks. By leveraging pre-trained models on large medical datasets, researchers [13] achieved superior performance in differentiating between diabetic subtypes. Transfer learning facilitates the extraction of meaningful features from general medical data, providing a valuable initialization for deep learning models and potentially reducing the need for extensive labeled diabetic datasets.

Attention mechanisms have been incorporated into deep learning architectures to enhance the interpretability of model predictions. These mechanisms enable the network to focus on relevant regions within the input data, aiding in the identification of critical features for diabetic classification. Notable contributions, as seen in [14], showcase the efficacy of attentionbased models in improving the understanding of the decisionmaking process and enhancing the overall classification performance.

3. PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed method utilizes a cutting-edge deep-learning reconstruction algorithm, specifically Capsule Networks (CapsNet), to address the task of diabetic subtype classification. CapsNet represents a departure from traditional neural network architectures by introducing capsules, dynamic entities that encode hierarchical relationships between features. This unique architecture is particularly suited for capturing intricate patterns and nuanced representations within medical data, such as those associated with diabetic subtypes.

The first step of the CapsNet-based method involves the input layer, where relevant features from the patient data are encoded into capsules. These capsules encapsulate spatial hierarchies and relationships, allowing the network to discern subtle variations in diabetic characteristics. The non-linear dynamics of CapsNet facilitate the extraction of discriminative features that might be challenging for conventional neural networks to capture effectively.

The routing mechanism within CapsNet enables the network to establish dynamic connections between capsules. This dynamic routing ensures that the network considers the spatial relationships and dependencies among features, fostering a more comprehensive understanding of the complex patterns inherent in diabetic data. The capsules act as informative entities, facilitating robust and nuanced feature representation crucial for accurate classification.

To train the CapsNet, a reconstruction loss is employed, encouraging the network to reconstruct the input data accurately. This inherent regularization mechanism aids in preventing overfitting and enhances the model's generalization capability. During training, the CapsNet learns to encode and decode input data, emphasizing the preservation of critical features relevant to diabetic subtype classification.

3.1 CAPSNET

Capsule Networks, commonly referred to as CapsNets, represent a revolutionary advancement in neural network architectures. They were introduced to address the limitations of traditional neural networks, particularly in capturing hierarchical relationships and spatial hierarchies within data. CapsNets derive their name from capsules, which are dynamic entities within the network that encode information about the presence and pose of specific features. The distinctive feature of CapsNets is their ability to recognize patterns in a spatially hierarchical manner, allowing for more nuanced and accurate representation of complex data. The process of CapsNets involves several key components:

3.1.1 Input Encoding:

The initial layer of the CapsNet processes the input data, which could be medical data in the context of diabetic classification. Rather than using traditional neurons, CapsNets utilize capsules to encode information. Each capsule represents a specific feature or part of an object.

3.1.2 Dynamic Routing Mechanism:

A critical aspect of CapsNets is the dynamic routing mechanism, which facilitates communication between capsules. This contrasts with the fixed-weight connections in traditional neural networks. Capsules in one layer send signals to capsules in the next layer, and the dynamic routing mechanism determines the strength of these connections based on the agreement between the capsules. This enables the network to identify spatial relationships and hierarchies within the data. CapsNets introduce non-linear activation functions in the form of squashing functions, ensuring that the capsules' outputs are bounded and can represent complex relationships within the data.

The dynamic routing mechanism calculates the coupling coefficients (c_{ij}) between capsules in adjacent layers using a routing softmax. The coupling coefficients represent the agreement or probability that a lower-level capsule (\mathbf{u}_i) should be coupled with a higher-level capsule (\mathbf{v}_i).

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k} \frac{e^{b_{ij}}}{e^{b_{ik}}} \tag{1}$$

The logits (*bij*) are iteratively updated during training using the following dynamic routing update:

$$b_{ij} = b_{ij} + \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j \tag{2}$$

The squashing function is applied to normalize the output of each capsule and ensure that its length is between 0 and 1.

$$S(\mathbf{v}_{j}) = \frac{\|s_{j}\|^{2} \cdot \|s_{j}\| s_{j}}{1 + \|s_{j}\|^{2}}$$
(3)

where \mathbf{s}_j is the sum of the weighted predictions from the lower-level capsules:

$$\mathbf{s}_{j} = \sum_{i} c_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{i} \tag{4}$$

To enhance generalization and prevent overfitting, CapsNets incorporate a reconstruction loss. This involves comparing the reconstructed input with the original input, encouraging the network to retain crucial features during training. CapsNets can be adapted to handle sequential and temporal data, making them suitable for tasks involving time-series information. This adaptability is especially valuable in healthcare applications, such as diabetic classification using longitudinal patient data.

Algorithm 1: Capsule Networks

- 1) Initialize weights for the connection between capsules
- 2) Set biases for routing logits to zero
- 3) Calculate the prediction vectors for the output capsules
- 4) Apply the squashing function to normalize each capsule output
- 5) Calculate the weighted sum of prediction vectors from lowerlevel capsules for each higher-level capsule

- 6) Update the routing logits iteratively during training
- 7) Calculate coupling coefficients using a routing softmax
- 8) Compute the gradient of the squash function
- 9) Update weights using the dynamic routing gradients
- 10) Update biases for routing logits
- 11) Propagate error to the lower-level capsules

3.2 TRAINING ON DIABETIC SUBTYPES

In the training process for diabetic subtype classification, the model undergoes a series of steps to learn and adapt to the patterns present in the data without explicitly mentioning the specific algorithm or methods. The goal is to ensure that the model generalizes well to diverse instances of diabetic subtypes, capturing both common and subtle features indicative of each subtype.

During training, the model is exposed to a labeled dataset containing instances of different diabetic subtypes. This dataset serves as the ground truth for the model to learn the relationships between input features and corresponding subtype labels. The process begins with the model's initialization, where learnable parameters are set to certain values, and these parameters are iteratively updated during training to improve the model's performance.

The training process involves forward and backward passes through the network. In the forward pass, the input data is processed through the network, and predictions are generated based on the current model parameters. These predictions are then compared to the actual subtype labels using a predefined loss function, which quantifies the disparity between predicted and true values. The goal of the training process is to minimize this loss, aligning the model's predictions with the actual data.

Following the forward pass, a backward pass is initiated to compute the gradient of the loss with respect to the model parameters. This gradient guides the model in adjusting its learnable weights during the optimization step, such as using gradient descent algorithms. The model iteratively updates its parameters to minimize the loss, effectively improving its ability to discern patterns specific to different diabetic subtypes.

Regularization techniques are often incorporated during training to prevent overfitting and enhance the model's generalization capacity. These techniques may include dropout or weight decay, subtly adjusting the model to avoid memorizing the training data and instead learning the underlying features associated with each diabetic subtype.

Training continues for multiple iterations until the model converges, demonstrating stable and consistent performance across the training dataset. The trained model can then be evaluated on separate, unseen datasets to assess its ability to generalize and accurately classify diabetic subtypes in real-world scenarios.

Throughout the training process, the emphasis is on the model's capacity to extract and represent meaningful features from the input data, enabling it to make accurate predictions for different diabetic subtypes. The goal is to ensure that the trained model exhibits robust performance and can effectively classify diverse instances of diabetic subtypes encountered during realworld applications.

Table.2. Training, Testing and Validation Ratio

| Dataset Split | Ratio | Acc | Pr | Re | F1 | Sen | Spe |
|---------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Training | 70% | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.94 |
| Validation | 15% | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.9 |
| Testing | 15% | 0.88 | 0.9 | 0.87 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.89 |

4. EXPERIMENTS

The proposed method is implemented and evaluated using Python as the primary programming language, leveraging popular deep learning libraries such as TensorFlow for model development and training. Simulation tools like Jupyter Notebooks are employed to facilitate a systematic and interactive exploration of the proposed CapsNets architecture. The dataset utilized for experimentation consists of diverse instances of diabetic subtypes, ensuring a comprehensive evaluation of the model's performance. Preprocessing steps involve data normalization, feature extraction, and temporal adjustments to enhance the robustness of the model.

The performance of the proposed Capsule Networks architecture is rigorously compared with existing methods commonly employed in diabetic subtype classification, including CNNs, Transfer Learning approaches, and Attention-based CNNs. CNNs serve as a baseline deep learning model, while Transfer Learning leverages pre-trained models on larger datasets for enhanced feature extraction. Attention-based CNNs focus on relevant features within medical data, aiming to improve classification accuracy. The comparative analysis encompasses key performance metrics such as accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC-ROC).

| Table.3. | Experimental | Setup |
|----------|--------------|-------|
|----------|--------------|-------|

| Experiment Settings | Values | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Dataset | Pima Indian Diabetes Dataset | | |
| Data Split Ratio | Training: 70%, Validation: 15%, Testing: 15% | | |
| Model Architecture | CapsNets | | |
| Training Epochs | 50 | | |
| Batch Size | 32 | | |
| Learning Rate | 0.001 | | |
| Optimization Algorithm | Adam | | |
| Loss Function | Binary Cross-Entropy | | |
| Activation Function | Sigmoid | | |
| Preprocessing Steps | Normalization, Feature Scaling | | |

4.1 PERFORMANCE METRICS

The performance of the CapsNets on the diabetes dataset is evaluated using the following metrics:

• Accuracy: The proportion of correctly classified instances over the total number of instances.

- **Sensitivity (Recall):** Measures the ability of the model to correctly identify positive instances.
- **Specificity:** Measures the model's accuracy in identifying negative instances.
- Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC-ROC): Evaluates the model's ability to distinguish between classes, particularly useful for imbalanced datasets.
- **F1 Score:** The harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a balance between false positives and false negatives.
- Precision: Measures the accuracy of positive predictions.
- **Confusion Matrix:** Provides a detailed breakdown of true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives.

The dataset is derived from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, focusing on predicting diabetes based on diagnostic measurements. It comprises information about female patients of Pima Indian heritage, all aged at least 21 years. The features include various diagnostic measurements such as the number of pregnancies, glucose concentration, blood pressure, skin thickness, insulin levels, body mass index (BMI), diabetes pedigree function, and age. The outcome variable is binary, indicating the presence (1) or absence (0) of diabetes in the patient. The dataset is curated with constraints to ensure a specific demographic representation and is commonly used for developing and evaluating predictive models for diabetes classification.

| Table.4. | Dataset | Description |
|----------|---------|-------------|
|----------|---------|-------------|

| Feature | Description |
|------------------------------|---|
| Pregnancies | Number of times the patient has been pregnant |
| Glucose | Plasma glucose concentration 2 hours after glucose test |
| BloodPressure | Diastolic blood pressure measured in mm Hg |
| SkinThickness | Triceps skin fold thickness measured in mm |
| Insulin | 2-Hour serum insulin measured in mu U/ml |
| BMI | Body mass index calculated as weight/(height^2) |
| DiabetesPedigre eFunction | Function providing a measure of diabetes family history |
| Age | Age of the patient in years |
| Outcome | Binary class variable (0 or 1) indicating diabetes presence (1) or absence (0) |

Table.5. Accuracy

| Method | Training | Validation | Testing |
|-------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Existing CNN | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.84 |
| Transfer Learning | 0.91 | 0.88 | 0.87 |
| Attention CNN | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.85 |
| Proposed CapsNet | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.91 |

The experimental results reveal that the proposed CapsNets method consistently outperforms existing CNN, Transfer Learning, and Attention CNN methods in terms of accuracy. With a training accuracy of 94%, validation accuracy of 92%, and testing accuracy of 91%, the CapsNets model exhibits superior predictive capabilities for diabetic subtype classification. This highlights the efficacy of the proposed method in leveraging capsule structures for nuanced feature extraction, leading to more accurate predictions. The substantial margins between training and validation/testing accuracies suggest robust generalization, affirming the effectiveness of CapsNets in handling complex patterns within the diabetes dataset.

Table.6. Sensitivity

| Method | Training | Validation | Testing |
|-------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Existing CNN | 0.8 | 0.78 | 0.75 |
| Transfer Learning | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.8 |
| Attention CNN | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.77 |
| Proposed CapsNet | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.88 |

The sensitivity analysis demonstrates the proposed CapsNets method's exceptional performance in identifying positive instances of diabetic subtypes. With a training sensitivity of 92%, validation sensitivity of 89%, and testing sensitivity of 88%, the CapsNets model consistently outperforms existing CNN, Transfer Learning, and Attention CNN methods. This high sensitivity implies the model's proficiency in capturing true positive cases, crucial for diabetic subtype classification.

| Table.7. S | pecificity |
|------------|------------|
|------------|------------|

| Method | Training | Validation | Testing |
|-------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Existing CNN | 0.92 | 0.9 | 0.89 |
| Transfer Learning | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.84 |
| Attention CNN | 0.9 | 0.88 | 0.87 |
| Proposed CapsNet | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.91 |

The specificity analysis highlights the remarkable performance of the proposed CapsNets method in correctly identifying negative instances of diabetic subtypes. With a training specificity of 95%, validation specificity of 92%, and testing specificity of 91%, CapsNets consistently surpasses existing CNN, Transfer Learning, and Attention CNN methods. The high specificity indicates the model's ability to accurately distinguish non-diabetic cases, minimizing false positives. These results highlight CapsNets' effectiveness in capturing relevant features specific to the absence of diabetes, enhancing its utility for remote patient monitoring by reducing the likelihood of misclassifying individuals without the condition.

| Iteration | Existing CNN | Transfer Learning | Attention CNN | Proposed CapsNet |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 100 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.92 |
| 200 | 0.88 | 0.9 | 0.87 | 0.94 |
| 300 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.95 |
| 400 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.96 |
| 500 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.97 |
| 600 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.98 |

| 700 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.98 |
|------|------|------|------|------|
| 800 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.99 |
| 900 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| 1000 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.99 |

The AUC-ROC analysis demonstrates the superior discriminative power of the proposed CapsNets method in diabetic subtype classification. With an AUC-ROC of 0.99, CapsNets consistently outperforms existing CNN, Transfer Learning, and Attention CNN methods. This high AUC-ROC score indicates the model's robust ability to distinguish between positive and negative instances, showcasing its efficacy in capturing complex patterns within the dataset.

Table.9. Precision

| Method | Training | Validation | Testing |
|-------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Existing CNN | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.79 |
| Transfer Learning | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| Attention CNN | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.81 |
| Proposed CapsNet | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.88 |

The precision analysis reveals the superior precision of the proposed CapsNets method in diabetic subtype classification. With a precision of 92%, CapsNets consistently outperforms existing CNN, Transfer Learning, and Attention CNN methods. This high precision indicates the model's proficiency in making accurate positive predictions while minimizing false positives. The results highlight CapsNets' ability to identify true positive cases with precision, crucial for applications like remote patient monitoring where precise identification of diabetic subtypes is imperative. The substantial margin between CapsNets and other methods highlights its reliability in providing accurate and trustworthy predictions in the medical context.

Table.10. Recall

| Method | Training | Validation | Testing |
|-------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Existing CNN | 0.8 | 0.78 | 0.75 |
| Transfer Learning | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.8 |
| Attention CNN | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.77 |
| Proposed CapsNet | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.88 |

The recall analysis highlights the exceptional sensitivity of the proposed CapsNets method in diabetic subtype classification. With a recall of 88%, CapsNets consistently outperforms existing CNN, Transfer Learning, and Attention CNN methods. This high recall indicates the model's efficacy in capturing a significant portion of true positive cases, crucial for identifying individuals with diabetes. The results emphasize CapsNets' ability to minimize false negatives, showcasing its reliability in recognizing positive instances within the dataset. The substantial margin between CapsNets and other methods highlights its effectiveness in achieving comprehensive coverage of diabetic subtypes, essential for accurate patient monitoring and healthcare decisionmaking.

| Method | Training | Validation | Testing |
|-------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Existing CNN | 0.35 | 0.4 | 0.42 |
| Transfer Learning | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.34 |
| Attention CNN | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.38 |
| Proposed CapsNet | 0.2 | 0.24 | 0.26 |

Table.11. loss

The loss analysis signifies the efficiency of the proposed CapsNets method in minimizing predictive errors during training. With a low training loss of 0.20, CapsNets consistently outperforms existing CNN, Transfer Learning, and Attention CNN methods. This indicates the model's adeptness in converging to an optimal state, capturing intricate patterns within the dataset. The lower training loss highlights CapsNets' ability to fit the data well, translating to enhanced generalization and predictive accuracy. These results affirm CapsNets as a robust and efficient model, capable of effectively learning and representing complex relationships within the diabetic subtype dataset.

5. CONCLUSION

The study introduces a novel approach, leveraging CapsNets, for diabetic subtype classification in remote patient monitoring. The proposed method consistently outperforms existing CNN, Transfer Learning, and Attention CNN methodologies across various performance metrics, including accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, AUC-ROC, F1-score, precision, recall, and loss. These findings highlight the efficacy of CapsNets in capturing nuanced patterns within the diabetic dataset, showcasing its potential for accurate and reliable predictions. The robust performance across training, validation, and testing sets indicates the model's ability to generalize well. This research contributes valuable insights to the field of diabetic classification, demonstrating the promising capabilities of Capsule Networks in enhancing remote patient monitoring applications.

REFERENCES

 R. Li, S. Shen, G. Chen, T. Xie, S. Ji, B. Zhou and Z. Wang, "Multilevel Risk Prediction of Cardiovascular Disease based on Adaboost+RF Ensemble Learning", IOP Publisher, 2019.

- [2] Nan-Chen and Lun-Ping Hung, "A Data Driven Ensemble Classifier for Credit Scoring Analysis", *Expert Systems with Applications*, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 534-545, 2010.
- [3] H. Benjamin Fredrick David and S. Antony Belcy, "Heart Disease Prediction using Data Mining Techniques", *ICTACT Journal on Soft Computing*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 1817-1823, 2018.
- [4] Yashima Ahuja and Sumit Kumar Yadav, "Multiclass Classification and Support Vector Machine", *Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology Interdisciplinary*, Vol. 12, No. 11, pp. 14-20, 2012.
- [5] R. Das and A, Sengur, "Evaluation of Ensemble Methods for Diagnosing of Valvular Heart Disease", *Expert Systems with Applications*, Vol. 37, No. 7, pp. 5110-5115, 2010.
- [6] D.C. Ciresan, U. Meier, J. Masci, L. Maria Gambardella and J. Schmidhuber, "Flexible, High Performance Convolutional Neural Networks for Image Classification", *Proceedings of International Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 1237-1242, 2011.
- [7] N.V. Kousik and M. Saravanan, "A Review of Various Reversible Embedding Mechanisms", *International Journal* of Intelligence and Sustainable Computing, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 233-266, 2021.
- [8] A. Khadidos, A.O. Khadidos, S. Kannan and G. Tsaramirsis, "Analysis of COVID-19 Infections on a CT Image using Deep Sense Model", *Frontiers in Public Health*, Vol. 8, pp. 1-20, 2020.
- [9] K. Deepa, "A Journal on Cervical Cancer Prediction using Artificial Neural Networks", *Turkish Journal of Computer* and Mathematics Education, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 1085-1091, 2021.
- [10] H.S. Baird, "Document Image Defect Models", IEEE Computer Society Press, 1995.
- [11] S. Hussein, P. Kandel, C.W. Bolan, M.B. Wallace and U. Bagci, "Lung and Pancreatic Tumor Characterization in the Deep Learning Era: Novel Supervised and Unsupervised Learning Approaches", *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, Vol. 38, No. 8, pp. 1777-1787, 2019.
- [12] Jun E. Liu and Feng Ping An, "Image Classification Algorithm Based on Deep Learning-Kernel Function", *Scientific Programming*, Vol. 2020, pp. 1-14, 2020.
- [13] S. Murugan, C. Venkatesan, M.G. Sumithra and S. Manoharan, "DEMNET: A Deep Learning Model for Early Diagnosis of Alzheimer Diseases and Dementia from MR Images", *IEEE Access*, Vol. 9, pp. 90319-90329, 2021.