Abstract

In the Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks (UWSN) provide a solution for several aquatic and oceanographic applications. All these UWSN applications are need to be aware of the nodes positioning. In some insecure environment, the misleading data can be transmitted to the sonobuoys or monitoring systems in the network. This may disrupt the functions of the nodes. Thus, the secured localization algorithms are designed to resistant against attack and try to achieve the localization correctly. This paper shows that modified secure localization algorithm based Gradient Descent Algorithm (GDA) to remove the misleading information in the networks. This modified algorithms the normal nodes are cooperate with each other to reduce the localization error and to improve the pruning percentage.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few years, there is a rapid development in underwater wireless sensor networks. This UWSN are having a wide range of applications including collection of data in oceanographic regions, assisted navigation, and disaster prevention and so on. In all the above UWSN applications, the secure communication among the sensor nodes is very important challenging task [1].

For an example, reporting an event, tracking a tactile (moving object) or monitoring the oceanographic environmental physical conditions are some of the types of UWSN applications that all the position and coordinates of the referred architecture phenomena is more important. To identifying the nodes position, the localization schemes [4] are developed (i.e. they are relying on a set of anchor nodes) the anchor nodes are called as reference nodes to known the location information. The nodes locations could be calculated by the position of the reference nodes and the distance between the reference and normal nodes are also calculated. In some scenarios, some reference node may be compromised by the adversary. These nodes are transmitted false the information’s to prevent accurate localization of the remaining normal nodes. Thus, the localization problem affects the entire networks proper function.

To overcome these problems, some efficient resistant methods (node deployment schemes) have been introduced. Gradient Descent Secure Localization (GDSL) [2], Least Mean Square (LMS) [4], and Voting Based Scheme (VBS) [5] are the some of the important secured localization methods from location accuracy point of view. The localization error of these methods is almost same but the complexity of GDSL is comparatively less than the other methods. So its results are very less consumption of energy [8] [9]. In [11], the authors proposed an enhanced range free localization algorithm for wireless sensor networks. This algorithm uses two important methods such as hop size and weighted correction and Localization estimation method. In this hop size and weighted correction, is used in each anchor computes its hop size and calculate the distance between itself with other anchor nodes. The secured algorithm is as localization estimation method is used to calculate the position of the unknown nodes. In [12], the author surveyed on software fault localization. This algorithm is classified into four important groups namely, slice band localization, spectral based localization, statistic based localization and model based localization. This algorithm is mainly focus on the improvements of algorithm using SFL techniques.

This paper gives a novel secure localization algorithm based GDA of UWSN. So that it improves the network lifetime. The nodes consume very low energy. The network lifetime of the nodes will be increased.

This paper organized as follows, in section 2 UWSN architecture is discussed. GDS Localization techniques are explained in section 3. The section 4 gives simulated results and its discussion. The paper is concluded in section 5.

2. UWSN ARCHITECTURE

These acoustic communications are very low frequency signals (30-300Hz). These radio frequency waves are not propagating over a long distance. The acoustic channel impairments are very limited channel bandwidth, high propagation delay, very high attenuation loss and fading. The main difference between the terrestrial and underwater sensor networks are,

- **Cost**: The terrestrial sensor nodes are expected to become expensive increasingly. But, the underwater sensor nodes are very expensive devices.

- **Deployment**: The terrestrial sensor networks are densely deployed but the deployment in underwater scenario is sparser.

- **Power**: Due to very low frequency signal, long distance data transmission having complex signal processing techniques. The power needed by the acoustic underwater communication is always higher than the terrestrial WSN.

- **Memory**: The terrestrial sensors are having very limited storage capacity but the underwater sensors are having able to catching some data as underwater channel is intermittent.

- **Spatial Correlation**: The underwater sensors are not often correlated like terrestrial networks.
There are some communication architectures of underwater sensor networks. This shows the various challenges associated in underwater scenarios.

2.1 2D UNDERWATER WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

The reference architecture of 2D networks are shown in the Fig.1. The sensor nodes are anchored at ocean bottom surface. There is an interconnection between sensor nodes by using underwater gateways. These gateways are in the charge of data relaying by the means of wireless acoustic links. This architecture is having a vertical and horizontal transceiver.

![Fig.1. 2D underwater wireless sensor networks](image)

The horizontal transceivers are responsible for the communication between sensor nodes in order to

- To sends command data and to configure the data to sensors.
- To collect the monitored data.

In a very deep water application, long range transceivers are used. The surface station is equipped with acoustic and RF transceivers to communicate with underwater nodes and to monitoring the systems through RF links.

2.2 3D UNDERWATER WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

The 2D UWSN architecture is endowed with RF long ranges and satellite transmitters are used with underwater gateways. But in 3D underwater sensor networks are used to detect the phenomena in underwater rapidly, i.e., to perform a cooperative sampling of underwater environment. In a 3D underwater wireless sensor networks, the nodes are floated at different depths.

In this architecture, the each and every node are anchored at the bottom of the ocean and equipped with son buoys which are floated over the ocean surface. The sensor depth can be regulated by adjusting the wire length from the anchors. The length is to be adjusted by the electronically controlled engines. The number of sensor nodes need to be deployed to achieve optimum sensing and communication coverage is to be very less compared with 2D underwater wireless sensor networks.

![Fig.2. 3D underwater wireless sensor networks](image)

2.3 AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER VEHICLES

The AUV can function without use of any wires, cables, or monitor control. So, this UAV are having various applications in many areas such as ocean monitoring, environment monitoring, and to study about the underwater scenario. But the AUV are very expensive in submarines, because they are equipped with multiple underwater sensors.

![Fig.3. Autonomous underwater vehicles](image)

The integration of AUV with Underwater wireless sensor networks needs some novel network coordination algorithms such as,

- **Self-Configuration**: This algorithm includes a control procedure to detect the connectivity between the nodes at the time of some nodes become fails.
- **Adaptive sampling**: This algorithm has control strategies to command the mobile nodes or son buoys to forward the data and to know its location of sensor nodes and surface son buoys.

The AUV are to be making them to rely on local intelligence and less dependent on communication. So, the control strategies are to be needed to coordinator between the AUV’s, obstacle avoidance, void node avoidance etc.
3. MODIFIED GDM APPROACH

Consider the architecture of the UWSN, let \( N \) be the total number of anchor nodes. The \( k^{th} \) node sends the information to localizing node \( P_1 = [x_1 \ y_1]^T \) which is the correct position of the \( k^{th} \) anchor node. Consider the additive independent Gaussian noise model \( N(0,\Omega^2) \) the distance can be estimated by using a received signal strength, angle of arrival and Time of Arrival. In some advertise environment, some nodes leads to an incorrect localization. The type of attacks is classified into the coordinated attacks and Non-Coordinated attacks [5].

- **Non Coordinated attacks**: The nodes are compromised independent by changing the distance to prevent localizing nodes from its accurate positioning. This scenario can be modeled by a zero mean uniform random variable to actual distance from the localization of the nodes.

- **Coordinated attacks**: This coordinated attacks are the stronger attacks that against the launched by compromised nodes to acting together to make a localization of the normal nodes. The estimated position of all of the normal nodes as \( (x_{mal}, \ y_{mal}) \), where the \( x_{mal}, \ y_{mal} \) is an arbitrary points determined by the attackers.

The difference between the actual position of the malicious nodes is characterized via the strength of coordinated attack is given by,

\[
d_i = \sqrt{(X_{mal} - X_i)^2 + (Y_{mal} - Y_i)^2}
\]

If there is no malicious attack then the unknown position of the nodes is estimated by the ML (Maximum Likelihood estimation)

\[
P_s = \frac{d_i^2}{\text{dist}_i}
\]

The correct position of the maximized probability is,

\[
P_{ml(i)} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \cdot \| P_i \| \right)
\]

where, \( P_i \) is random probability, \( P_i \) is the \( k^{th} \) probability of ML function.

An iterative GDA is to be introduced to find the estimated position. For \( i \)th iteration, the estimated position is given as,

\[
P(i) = P(i-1) + \delta(i) X \frac{g(i)}{\| g(i) \|}
\]

where, \( \delta(i) \) is a step size, \( g(i) \) is a force factor and \( \| g(i) \| \) is get smaller than the predefined threshold. The large force vector of the malicious node is to be eliminated. This process is called as pruning stage.

The existing GDM approach the node calculates its position based on the received information. The localization error is more because it compares only the few received information from the normal node. To overcome these issues, the cooperative GDM localization method is proposed. The each node broadcast its localization information from the anchor nodes and these information are to be broadcasted it all to the other neighbours within the communication region. These broadcast information consist of a sequence id number and \( x, y, z \) position coordinates respectively.

The broadcast information is to be received by all the other neighbour nodes it calculates its distance based on the general GDM approach. So, this will provide the more information so it reduces the localization error. The noise is a zero mean additive Gaussian noise with different variance for each transmitted and received noise level is determined by,

\[
\text{Noise} = \frac{20rR}{d_{max}}
\]

where, \( d \) is the distance between the transmitter and receiver and \( d_{max} \) is the maximum transmission range.

To calculate the distance between the normal node and the anchored nodes, the Lambert function is to be \( W(s) \) is,

\[
\text{Distance} = \frac{20000W}{\alpha \ln(10)} \left[ \frac{\alpha \ln(10)e^{20TL}}{20000} \right]
\]

where, \( \alpha \) is the absorption coefficient and \( T_L \) is the Transmission loss.

4. SIMULATED RESULTS

The underwater wireless sensor networks model was simulated using the Aquasim software tool. This software is created to simulate packet collision and the acoustic signal attenuation scenario in underwater environment. The networks included an anchor nodes and normal nodes. The nodes are scattered randomly over the entire region of 1000m \( \times \) 1000m deployed region. Assumed that the nodes are placed under the depth of 500m.

Consider that among the 60 nodes, 20 nodes are anchor anodes and remaining 40 nodes are normal nodes. Under the Non – coordinated attack scenario, the anchor nodes are affected by the attack and act as malicious nodes, add a disturbance within the uniform distribution with zero mean and the variance at the noisy distance. If the attack is coordinated scenario based, then for each and every ordinary node, the hypothetical position are calculated and the malicious nodes are reported based on their position with ordinary nodes.

![Fig.4. Localization error in terms of Ratio of an Anchor node to an ordinary node in coordinated attack](image-url)

The existing GDM approach the node calculates its position based on the received information. The localization error is more because it compares only the few received information from the normal node. To overcome these issues, the cooperative GDM localization method is proposed. The each node broadcast its localization information from the anchor nodes and these information are to be broadcasted it all to the other neighbours within the communication region. These broadcast information consist of a sequence id number and \( x, y, z \) position coordinates respectively.
Fig. 5. Localization error in terms of Ratio of anchor nodes to ordinary nodes in non-coordinated attacks

The Fig.4 and Fig.5 shows the position error decreases by increasing the number of anchor nodes. In this proposed underwater environment model, the positioning error is reduced by without adding any anchor node in the network by increasing the cooperative nodes to node localization.

Table.1. Simulated results of Modified GDM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>GDM Approach</th>
<th>Modified GDM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Anchor nodes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Ordinary nodes</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Sent (packets)</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packets Received (packets)</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Delivery ratio</td>
<td>72.07</td>
<td>84.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End to end delay (ms)</td>
<td>12.465ms</td>
<td>11.925ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above Fig.6 and Fig.7 the error in positioning is to be calculated in terms of pruning percentage. The simulation parameters and results are listed out in the Table.1.

The error in the proposed GDM approach is always less than the existing algorithm because the more number of nodes are act as an anchor nodes to node localization. In this proposed model, as the pruning percentages increases the node is removed. At the same time the remaining nodes that can cooperative to positioning increases and the localization error are also reduces.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper concludes that the secured cooperative localization scheme is based on the Gradient Descent algorithm with a selective pruning approach to remove the incorrect node information from the underwater sensor networks. To reduce the localization error, the cooperative model is helps the normal nodes to improve localization. The simulated results are shows the effectiveness of the proposed method.
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